1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THEODORE J. BOUTROUS JR., SBN 132099 tboutrous@gibsondunn.com RICHARD J. DOREN, SBN 124666 rdoren@gibsondunn.com DANIEL G. SWANSON, SBN 116556 dswanson@gibsondunn.com JAY P. SRINIVASAN, SBN 181471 jsrinivasan@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.229.7000 Facsimile: 213.229.7520 VERONICA S. MOYÉ (Texas Bar No. 24000092; pro hac vice) vmoye@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 2100 McKinney Avenue, Suite 1100 Dallas, TX 75201 Telephone: 214.698.3100 Facsimile: 214.571.2900	CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN (D.C. Bar No. 492089; pro hac vice) crichman@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: 202.955.8500 Facsimile: 202.467.0539 ETHAN D. DETTMER, SBN 196046 edettmer@gibsondunn.com RACHEL S. BRASS, SBN 219301 rbrass@gibsondunn.com CAELI A. HIGNEY, SBN 268644 chigney@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415.393.8200 Facsimile: 415.393.8306 Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.
13		
14		A DICTRICT COLUDT
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	OAKLAND DIVISION	
17		
18	In re Apple iPhone Antitrust Litigation	Case No. 4:11-cv-06714-YGR
19		CENTRAL ATTION AND INDODOCEDI ODDED
20		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING SEALING PROCEDURES
21		
22		The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

27

28

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Apple Inc. (each a "Party," and collectively the "Parties") wish to conserve the resources of the Court and to avoid filing multiple sealing motions and declarations, especially in light of the current judicial emergency in the Northern District of California, see, e.g., Order Denying [81] Motion, SaurikIT, LLC v. Apple Inc., Case No. 4:20-cv-08733-YGR (June 24, 2022) (Dkt. 84) (referencing judicial emergency and directing that "filing of . . . unnecessary motions should be avoided");

WHEREAS the Parties anticipate that filings in this action, including the forthcoming class certification briefing, will continue to involve confidential information which may belong to the Parties as well as non-parties (noting that briefing on Plaintiffs' first motion for class certification involved confidential information relating to fourteen third parties);

WHEREAS substantial time can be required to carefully and properly redact each Party and non-party's confidential information (and thereby avoid inadvertent public filings of confidential information and emergency requests to lock docket entries);

WHEREAS the Local Rules call for a separate motion to consider sealing for each third party to be supported by a subsequent motion or declaration from the third party, see Civil L.R. 79-5(f);

WHEREAS parties in other matters pending in this District have, with Court approval, stipulated to modifications of the seal procedures set forth in the local rules to minimize the burdens of multiple sealing requests, see, e.g., Stipulated Order Modifying Sealing Procedures Relating to Plaintiffs' Motion for Sanctions, In re Google Play Store Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD (May 27, 2022) (Dkt. 264) (adopting procedure for omnibus sealing motion to follow completion of briefing on underlying motion); and

WHEREAS the Court has previously requested an omnibus filing to collect sealing requests, see Nov. 16, 2021 Tr. 81:9-14; Dkts. 617, 631;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED SUBJECT TO THE COURT'S APPROVAL:

If a Party files a document for which it intends to request sealing, the Party may file the document in redacted or slip-sheet form and contemporaneously file an unredacted copy of the same document on the ECF docket, provisionally under seal, along with a 1-page interim

1	sealing motion which may indicate that the reasons for sealing will be discussed in		
2	forthcoming omnibus sealing motion;		
3	• The Parties and any affected third	• The Parties and any affected third parties shall jointly file an omnibus sealing motion within	
4	4 14 days after the conclusion of br	14 days after the conclusion of briefing of the underlying motion or filing (including any	
5	5 associated filings such as Daubert	associated filings such as Daubert motions or motions to strike);	
6	• The Party filing the underlying do	• The Party filing the underlying document sought to be sealed shall bear the responsibility	
7	of: (1) notifying each affected thin	of: (1) notifying each affected third party regarding any of its confidential information that	
8	has been filed under seal and (2) soliciting each affected third party's request(s) for sealing		
9	or waiver(s) of confidentiality for inclusion in the omnibus sealing motion; and		
10	The Party filing the underlying d	• The Party filing the underlying document sought to be sealed shall file the public-facing	
11	1 version of the document, with any	version of the document, with any redactions pursuant to the Court's sealing order, within	
12	2 21 days following the Court's ord	21 days following the Court's order on the omnibus sealing motion.	
13	3		
14	IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.		
15	5		
16	Dated: September 16, 2022	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP	
17			
18	8	By: <u>/s/ Caeli A. Higney</u> Caeli A. Higney	
19	9	Attorney for Defendant Apple Inc.	
20			
21	1	WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP	
22	2		
23	3	By: <u>/s/ Rachele R. Byrd</u> Mark C. Rifkin	
24	4	Betsy C. Manifold Rachele R. Byrd	
25	5	Attorneys for Consumer Plaintiffs	
26			
27	7		
28	8		

ECF SIGNATURE ATTESTATION In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1, the filer of this document hereby attests that the concurrence of the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatory hereto. Dated: September 16, 2022 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP By: /s/ Caeli A. Higney Caeli A. Higney Attorney for Defendant Apple Inc.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 26, , 2022 United States District Court Judge